

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/834,290	04/12/2001	Satoshi Tafu	Ishii Case 15	4236
7590 04/08/2004			EXAMINER	
FLYNN, THIEL, BOUTELL & TANIS, P.C.			CORBIN, ARTHUR L	
2026 Rambling Road Kalamazoo, MI 49008-1699		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761	
		DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. G9 834, 290 TAFE ET AL Examiner Group Art Unit Legine L. Gebir 1761		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app€	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—		
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE		
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Efault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). It mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent		
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3-2-	2-04		
This action is FINAL.			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 	cept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
Claim(s) $6-8$, $0-13$	is/are allowed. is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s) 6-8, (0-13 □ Claim(s)			
,	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved.		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved.		
 □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) Application Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on 	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner		
 □ Claim(s) □ Application Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner		
 □ Claim(s) □ Application Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner r.		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner r.		
 □ Claim(s) □ Application Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).		
 Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received. en received in Application No nents have been received		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. Dijected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received. en received in Application No. ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received. en received in Application No. ents have been received		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the cer	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. Dijected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received. en received in Application No. ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. Dijected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received. en received in Application No. entre have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are of □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori□ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. Dijected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received. en received in Application No. entre have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		

Application/Control Number: 09/834,290

Art Unit: 1761

- 1. Claim 9 stands withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the paper submitted March 22, 2004.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 6-8 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muromachi et al (Ex. 6).

Applicant is referred to the reasoning set forth in paragraph No. 9 in the November, 2003 Office action.

4. Applicant's arguments filed March 22, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's comments with regard to Muromachi et al are without merit. The oyster extract mixture in Muromachi et al (Ex. 6), which includes components not precluded by applicant's claims, does have a zinc concentration as claimed by applicant. While the oyster extract alone in Muromachi et al may not have such a zinc concentration as claimed by applicant, applicant's conclusion of unexpected results for the claimed zinc concentration is unsupported by any factual evidence of record.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,290

Art Unit: 1761

Page 3

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed

to Arthur Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can

generally be reached on Monday to Friday from 10:30am to 8pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached at (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

A. Corbin/dh April 5, 2004

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

4-5-09